

CEAB  
c/o Gretchen Deans  
CERC  
805 Brook Street, Bldg 4  
Rocky Hill, CT 06067

Re: 2009 Integrated Resource Plan for Connecticut

Dear CEAB

With respect to Section 2.C.2 through 2.C.6 Expanded EE Level DSM Programs the following comments are offered. The concern involves implementing EE Level DSM Programs that could offset the annual increases in load (Docket No. 08-07-01, Table 2) in Connecticut. In these economic times funding is a major concern. Since the Connecticut Clean Energy Funds are being transferred to the General Fund this increases the flexibility for funding or inadequately funding EE Level DSM Programs as the economy dictates. Cost Plus Fixed Fee (CPFF) contracts could offer another source of funds that the State may not be able to provide.

It is encouraged that the EDCs negotiate CPFF contracts with the State of Connecticut to sell their services and EE equipment to residential and C&I entities at a loss that is recoverable as a rate adjustment in their billing to electricity rate payers. This would help to eliminate the stop and go of providing rebates for EE Level DSM Programs. In other words the EDCs would also be able to provide funds for EE Level DSM Programs if their economic situation allows and electricity rates are not unreasonably affected.

With respect to Section 8.C.2 Nuclear Generation there does not appear to be any reservations mentioned about the availability of water resources during a severe drought. This situation could apply to all of New England. The lesson learned would be France circa 2003. During the summer there was a severe drought where France shut down and /or powered down 19 of their 58 nuclear power plants due to lack of water and possibly high ambient water temperature. This should also be considered when siting nuclear power plants.

Respectively submitted,

David W. Jackson, CT Sierra Club, Energy Chair

103 Argyle Ave  
West Hartford, CT 06107-1704  
(860)521-8157  
bogoszudal@earthlink.net