

On Monday Sept. 22nd Michael and Tracy testified along with Dan Peaco and John Athas of La Capra Associates at the DPUC's IRP/Procurement Plan Review (Docket No. 08-07-01). The panel was cross examined from about 10am until nearly 6:30 PM with breaks and lunch of course. As part of that cross examination more information was requested in the form of Late Filed Exhibits. This is the formal name for information filed at this part of the proceeding. The CEAB is responsible for 8 LFEs which are due on October 1, 2008. The panel will likely be required to be available for additional cross examination on the LFEs on Friday Oct. 3rd. Attached is a list of the LFEs as we summarize them and the official list from the DPUC.

Our plan is to distribute drafts to the sub-committee on Friday the 26th. We would request that comments, questions or suggested edits be returned to Gretchen and Heather Hunt by Noon on Tuesday the 30th.

Thank you,

John

John G. Athas
Managing Consultant
La Capra Associates, Inc.
Tel: Direct 617 933-0651

Late Filed Exhibits Day One Sept. 22, 2008 due Oct. 1, 2008

1. *Document entitled Cost of Electricity ... Bruce Blakey Associates*
 - a. *Requester – Don Downes*
 - b. *Respondent – ENE, not the CEAB*
2. **Identify the Board Members of CEAB and their Affiliations**
 - a. **Requestor – Staff – Quinlan**
 - b. **Respondent – CEAB**
3. *FERC Filing by ISO-NE re: FCA2*
 - a. *Requestor – Staff*
 - b. *Respondent – Staff, not the CEAB*
4. **Comparison of Connecticut Internal Generation EL#4 pg 14 vs. H-30**
 - a. **Requestor – Staff**
 - b. **Respondent – CEAB**
5. **File any work papers for determining adequate capacity and update TABLE 2.3 of the IRP for FERC filing known and Knowable changes and impact of NEEWS**
 - a. **Requester – Staff**
 - b. **Respondent – CEAB**
6. **Provide any quantification of the cost of environmental impacts to ratepayers**
 - a. **Requester – OCC Hackett**
 - b. **Respondent – CEAB / DEP**
7. **Full Renewables Supply Curve used in CEAB (La Capra) REC analysis**
 - a. **Requester – OCC Chernick**
 - b. **Respondent – CEAB**
8. **Provide the ISO-NE Renewables projects in the QUEUE**
 - a. **Requester – OCC Chernick**
 - b. **Respondent – CEAB**
9. **Provide LCA Assumptions for the information in Table 3 of the Supplemental Analysis report prepared by Brattle**
 - a. **Requester – OCC Chernick**
 - b. **Respondent – CEAB**
10. **Verify that ISO-NE says if Lake Road is brought into CT than Norwalk Harbor is not needed for reliability.
What inference could be made about NEEWS?
What is the impact on LSR looking out if the ISO rules that there is an impact on Import Capability as a result of NEEWS**
 - a. **Requester – Staff**
 - b. **Respondent - CEAB**

As listed on DPUC website

LFE No.	LFE Title	Date Due	
1	ENE offered Blakely Report (ENE)		
2	CEAB membership (CEAB)		
3	ISO inventory for ICA (Dept.)		
4	Reserve margins Table # 30 vs. Table #7.3 (CEAB)		
5	Actual vs. Projected assets is study - numbers that conclusion is supported by (CEAB)		
6	Strategies for monetizing environmental benefits (DEP)		
7	Inventory of Renewables potential (CEAB)		
8	Renewables in ISO queue (CEAB)		
9	Recalculate resource costs for supplement Table 1 vs. Table 3 renewables (CEAB)		
10	Would Lake Road Genern. reconnect obviate need for Norwalk and/or NEEWS (CEAB)		